



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/672,401	09/28/2000	Pekka Koponen	557.301US01	557.301US01 8265	
7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER			
Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC			COULTER, KENNETH R		
Suite 125 8009 34th Avenue South		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Minneapolis, MN 55425			2141		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/672,401	KOPONEN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Kenneth R. Coulter	2141			

	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance to	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphant / unonamont (	. 0 2 0 2 . /.
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will ided below or appended.	l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14 and 24</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>9,12,13 and 15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10,11,16-23 and 25-39</u> .		•	
Claim(s) rejected: 7-6,77,77,70-25 and 25-55.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	il and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:		KENNETH R. C	/ ^ ^

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of claims 1-8, 10, 11, 16-23, and 25-39 under 35 USC 102(e) with respect to Angwin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,167,450).